

Ioredana and John Turner, 78 Brampton Park Road, Hitchin, Hertfordshire, SG5 1XE

We have been resident in Brampton Park Road for the last twenty - four years. Based on our location that is within 5 meters of the premises. We object to the license application for the following reasons:

[REDACTED]

We have also heard that multiple residents have written to the establishment several weeks ago to ask t [REDACTED] that the noise from the fan be reduced. [REDACTED]

The Prevention of public nuisance objective would be negative affected if the application was granted because:

the noise of two of the extract fans, especially the fans would continue until at least 12.30 am, 7 days a week. It is assumed that at least 30 minutes extra running time would be required after closing time due to the fact that the cooking equipment has a cooling period during which fumes still need to be vented in: and

The noise from additional traffic and opening and slamming of cars door would continue until 12.30am (when all the staff leave the premises) seven days a week. The additional traffic includes customers, delivery vehicles and staff; and

The noise from cleaning the premises after closing would occur from 12.00am until at least 12.30am, seven days a week; and The odour from the premises would continue until 12.30 am, seven days a week; and

The litter generated by customer of the customer of establishment would be increased due to the additional hour of trading – until 12.00 am, seven days a week; and

Regarding the selection of 'Both' at the top of Section, indicating the intention to serve food indoor and outdoor, the noise generated by customers being served and /or consuming food outside the premises until 12.00am seven days a week would be anticipated to be highly detrimental to the local residents. Food is not currently served outside the premises so the noise would be entirely new. Additional litter would also be expected to generated due to the serving and consumption outside.

Unfortunately the disturbance to residents and businesses (in relation to the points above) has not been mitigated:

a) Section M points (a), (b) and (d) of the application indicate the intention to deliver more. This would not reduce the noise - it would not increase it above current levels due to the increased traffic, opening and closing car doors etc.

b) There is no other evidence of either consideration or mitigation of the above disturbances in the application. We are not against takeaway at all, we are still using the services, we are pleased that the business is doing well at this difficult time. However these late hours are not appropriate for this otherwise narrow, terraced residential area.

Danny Cormack, 7 Brampton Park Road, Hitchin, Hertfordshire, SG5 1XD

My home address is within 80 metres of the premises and is 7 houses along the street from the premises. I object to the license application for the following reasons:

1. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
2. I have heard that multiple residents have long running (over multiple years) noise complaints especially about the fans with the Environmental Health department. For this reason I would like to request a Noise Impact Assessment be carried out in connection with this application.
3. I have also heard that residents have written to the establishment several weeks ago to ask that [REDACTED] the noise from the fans be reduced. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
4. The "Prevention of a public nuisance" objective would be negatively affected if the application was granted because:
 - a. The noise from the fans, especially the extractor fans, would continue until at least 12:30 am, 7 days a week. It is assumed that at least 30 minutes extra running time would be required after closing time due to the fact that the cooking equipment has a cooling period during which fumes still need to be vented; and
 - b. The noise from additional traffic and opening & slamming of car doors would continue until at least 12:30 am (when the staff finally leave after cleaning), seven days a week. The additional traffic includes customers, delivery vehicles and staff; and
 - c. The noise from cleaning the premises after closing would occur from 12.00 am until at least 12.30 am, seven days a week; and
 - d. The odours from the premises would continue until 12.00 am, seven days a week; and
 - e. The litter generated by customers of the establishment would be increased due to the additional hour of trading – especially post Covid; and
 - f. The likely noise and disturbance from customers arriving after pub closing time until 12.00am, seven days a week; and
 - g. Regarding the selection of "Both" at the top of Section I, indicating the intention to serve food indoors and outdoors, the noise generated by customers being served and/or consuming food outside the premises until 12.00 am seven days a week would be anticipated to be highly detrimental to the local residents. Food is not currently served outside the premises so the noise would be entirely new. Additional litter would also be expected to be generated due to the serving and consumption outside.
5. Unfortunately the disturbance to residents and businesses (in relation to the points above) has not been mitigated:
 - a. Section M point (a), (b) and (d) of the application indicate the intention to deliver more. This would not reduce the noise – it would increase it above current levels due to the increased traffic, opening & closing car doors etc.
 - b. There is no other evidence of either consideration or mitigation of the above disturbances in the application. I am not against takeaways at all (I am a customer of several!) and I am pleased that the business is doing well. However these late hours are not appropriate for this otherwise narrow, terraced residential area.

Alan Rhind, 2 Brampton Park Road, Hitchin, Hertfordshire, SG5 1XD

From the licensing objectives:

- a) General The establishment already operates 12 noon to midnight 7 days a week. This means local residents have very little respite from the noise disturbance caused by an extractor fan which run at all times during hours of operation, plus an external fridge fan which activates and deactivates 24 hours a day 7 days a week, plus the constant arrival at and departure from the premises of delivery vehicles All are noise contributors in a residential area
- b) The prevention of crime and disorder The influence on this of a delivery service being available cannot be viewed as a guarantee of less late evening footfall
- c) Public safety See above point ref increased late night traffic

Andrew Keeping, 63 Bearton Road, Hitchin, Hertfordshire, SG5 1UP

I wish to object to the proposed changes the reason for this is increased noise and traffic, environmental impact by noise and smell, increased rubbish and attraction to vermin, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Nazneen Nawaz, 3 Brampton Park Road, Hitchin, Hertfordshire, SG5 1XD

Business has taken no steps reduce issues coming from ongoing noise / disruption. Particularly around delivery drivers and people gathering around the shop late in the eve.

Shelley Raperport, 1 Brampton Park Road, Hitchin, Hertfordshire, SG5 1XD

We live directly above this takeaway-Both me& my husband work shift work,and with the hours being extended,it will seriously affect our sleep, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

The amount of rubbish people leave will get worse

People coming from the pub waiting for food will be very noisy and disruptive. People in there cars waiting for food at midnight with stereos blaring,when my husband has to wake up at 4.30AM is very disruptive too.

However,if they worked 10.30-10.30 we would not have a problem,as we understand this is a business.

Rosie Clayton, 77 Brampton Park Rd, Hitchin, Hertfordshire, SG5 1XE

My home address is within 10 metres of the premises and is 2 houses along the street from the premises. I object to the license application for the following reasons:

1. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
2. I have heard that multiple residents have long running (over multiple years) noise complaints especially about the fans with the Environmental Health department. For this reason I would like to request a Noise Impact Assessment be carried out in connection with this application.
3. I have also heard that residents have written to the establishment several weeks ago to ask that [REDACTED] the noise from the fans be reduced. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
4. The "Prevention of a public nuisance" objective would be negatively affected if the application was granted because:
 - a. The noise from the fans, especially the extractor fans, would continue until at least 12:30 am, 7 days a week. It is assumed that at least 30 minutes extra running time would be required after closing time due to the fact that the cooking equipment has a cooling period during which fumes still need to be vented; and
 - b. The noise from additional traffic and opening & slamming of car doors would continue until at least 12:30 am (when the staff finally leave after cleaning), seven days a week. The additional traffic includes customers, delivery vehicles and staff; and
 - c. The noise from cleaning the premises after closing would occur from 12.00 am until at least 12.30 am, seven days a week; and
 - d. The odours from the premises would continue until 12.00 am, seven days a week; and
 - e. The litter generated by customers of the establishment would be increased due to the additional hour of trading – especially post Covid; and
 - f. The likely noise and disturbance from customers arriving after pub closing time until 12.00am, seven days a week; and
 - g. Regarding the selection of "Both" at the top of Section I, indicating the intention to serve food indoors and outdoors, the noise generated by customers being served and/or consuming food outside the premises until 12.00 am seven days a week would be anticipated to be highly detrimental to the local residents. Food is not currently served outside the premises so the noise would be entirely new. Additional litter would also be expected to be generated due to the serving and consumption outside.
5. Unfortunately the disturbance to residents and businesses (in relation to the points above) has not been mitigated:
 - a. Section M point (a), (b) and (d) of the application indicate the intention to deliver more. This would not reduce the noise – it would increase it above current levels due to the increased traffic, opening & closing car doors etc.
 - b. There is no other evidence of either consideration or mitigation of the above disturbances in the application. I am not against takeaways at all (I am a customer of several!) and I am pleased that the business is doing well. However these late hours are not appropriate for this otherwise narrow, terraced residential area.

Edgar Davies and Steph Hart, 155 Bearton Rd, Hitchin, Hertfordshire, SG5 1UA

My home address is within 30 metres of the premises and is 4 houses along the street from the premises. I object to the license application for the following reasons:

1. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
2. I have heard that multiple residents have long running (over multiple years) noise complaints especially about the fans with the Environmental Health department.
3. I have also heard that residents have written to the establishment several weeks ago to ask that [REDACTED] the noise from the fans be reduced. [REDACTED].
4. The "Prevention of a public nuisance" objective would be negatively affected if the application was granted because:
 - a. The noise from the fans, especially the extractor fans, would continue until at least 12:30 am, 7 days a week. It is assumed that at least 30 minutes extra running time would be required after closing time due to the fact that the cooking equipment has a cooling period during which fumes still need to be vented; and
 - b. The noise from additional traffic and opening & slamming of car doors would continue until at least 12:30 am (when the staff finally leave after cleaning), seven days a week. The additional traffic includes customers, delivery vehicles and staff; and
 - c. The noise from cleaning the premises after closing would occur from 12.00 am until at least 12.30 am, seven days a week; and
 - d. The odours from the premises would continue until 12.00 am, seven days a week; and
 - e. The litter generated by customers of the establishment would be increased due to the additional hour of trading – especially post Covid; and
 - f. The likely noise and disturbance from customers arriving after pub closing time until 12.00am, seven days a week; and
 - g. Regarding the selection of "Both" at the top of Section I, indicating the intention to serve food indoors and outdoors, the noise generated by customers being served and/or consuming food outside the premises until 12.00 am seven days a week would be anticipated to be highly detrimental to the local residents. Food is not currently served outside the premises so the noise would be entirely new. Additional litter would also be expected to be generated due to the serving and consumption outside.
5. Unfortunately the disturbance to residents and businesses (in relation to the points above) has not been mitigated:
 - a. Section M point (a), (b) and (d) of the application indicate the intention to deliver more. This would not reduce the noise – it would increase it above current levels due to the increased traffic, opening & closing car doors etc.
 - b. There is no other evidence of either consideration or mitigation of the above disturbances in the application. I am not against takeaways at all (I am a customer of several!) and I am pleased that the business is doing well. However these late hours are not appropriate for this otherwise narrow, terraced residential area.

Paul Bedwell, 3 Brampton Park Road, Hitchin, Hertfordshire, SG5 1XD

My home address is within 15 metres of the premises and 2 houses along the street from the premises. I object to the license application for the following reasons:

1. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
2. I have heard that multiple residents have long running (over multiple years) noise complaints especially about the fans with the Environmental Health department.
3. I have also heard that residents have written to the establishment several weeks ago to ask that [REDACTED] the noise from the fans be reduced. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
4. The "Prevention of a public nuisance" objective would be negatively affected if the application was granted because:
 - a. The noise from the fans, especially the extractor fans, would continue until at least 12:30 am, 7 days a week. It is assumed that at least 30 minutes extra running time would be required after closing time due to the fact that the cooking equipment has a cooling period during which fumes still need to be vented; and
 - b. The noise from additional traffic and opening & slamming of car doors would continue until at least 12:30 am (when the staff finally leave after cleaning), seven days a week. The additional traffic includes customers, delivery vehicles and staff; and
 - c. The noise from cleaning the premises after closing would occur from 12.00 am until at least 12.30 am, seven days a week; and
 - d. The odours from the premises would continue until 12.00 am, seven days a week; and
 - e. The litter generated by customers of the establishment would be increased due to the additional hour of trading – especially post Covid; and
 - f. The likely noise and disturbance from customers arriving after pub closing time until 12.00am, seven days a week; and
 - g. Regarding the selection of "Both" at the top of Section I, indicating the intention to serve food indoors and outdoors, the noise generated by customers being served and/or consuming food outside the premises until 12.00 am seven days a week would be anticipated to be highly detrimental to the local residents. Food is not currently served outside the premises so the noise would be entirely new. Additional litter would also be expected to be generated due to the serving and consumption outside.
5. Unfortunately the disturbance to residents and businesses (in relation to the points above) has not been mitigated:
 - a. Section M point (a), (b) and (d) of the application indicate the intention to deliver more. This would not reduce the noise – it would increase it above current levels due to the increased traffic, opening & closing car doors etc.
 - b. There is no other evidence of either consideration or mitigation of the above disturbances in the application. However these late hours are not appropriate for this otherwise narrow, terraced residential area.

Louisa Warner, 152 Bearton Road, Hitchin, Hertfordshire, SG5 1UA

My home address is within 10 metres of the premises and on the opposite side of Brampton Park Road from the premises. I object to the license application for the following reasons:

1. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
2. I have heard that multiple residents have long running (over multiple years) noise complaints especially about the fans with the Environmental Health department.
3. I have also heard that residents have written to the establishment several weeks ago to ask that [REDACTED] the noise from the fans be reduced. [REDACTED].
4. The "Prevention of a public nuisance" objective would be negatively affected if the application was granted because
 - a. The noise from the fans, especially the extractor fans, would continue until at least 12:30 am, 7 days a week. It is assumed that at least 30 minutes extra running time would be required after closing time due to the fact that the cooking equipment has a cooling period during which fumes still need to be vented. During the summer months this is especially troublesome as we sleep with our bedroom windows (which are directly overlooking the premises) open; and
 - b. The noise from additional traffic and opening & slamming of car doors would continue until at least 12:30 am (when the staff finally leave after cleaning), seven days a week. The additional traffic includes customers, delivery vehicles and staff; and
 - c. The noise from cleaning the premises after closing would occur from 12.00 am until at least 12.30 am, seven days a week; and
 - d. The odours from the premises would continue until 12.00 am, seven days a week; and
 - e. The litter generated by customers of the establishment would be increased due to the additional hour of trading – especially post Covid; and
 - f. The likely noise and disturbance from customers arriving after pub closing time until 12.00am, seven days a week; and
 - g. Regarding the selection of "Both" at the top of Section I, indicating the intention to serve food indoors and outdoors, the noise generated by customers being served and/or consuming food outside the premises until 12.00 am seven days a week would be anticipated to be highly detrimental to the local residents. Food is not currently served outside the premises so the noise would be entirely new. Additional litter would also be expected to be generated due to the serving and consumption outside.
5. Unfortunately the disturbance to residents and businesses (in relation to the points above) has not been mitigated:
 - a. Section M point (a), (b) and (d) of the application indicate the intention to deliver more. This would not reduce the noise – it would increase it above current levels due to the increased traffic, opening & closing car doors etc.
 - b. There is no other evidence of either consideration or mitigation of the above disturbances in the application. I am not against takeaways at all (I am a customer of several!) and I am pleased that the business is doing well. However these late hours are not appropriate for this otherwise narrow, terraced residential area.

Vicki Hibbert, 8 Brampton Park Road, Hitchin, Hertfordshire, SG5 1XD

My home address is 8 houses along the street from the premises. I object to the license application for the following reasons:

1. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
2. I have heard that multiple residents have long running (over multiple years) noise complaints especially about the fans with the Environmental Health department. I personally have had many sleepless nights not being able to sleep because of the noise from the fans.
3. I have also heard that residents have written to the establishment several weeks ago to ask that [REDACTED] the noise from the fans be reduced. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
4. The "Prevention of a public nuisance" objective would be negatively affected if the application was granted because:
 - a. The noise from the fans, especially the extractor fans, would continue until at least 12:30 am, 7 days a week. It is assumed that at least 30 minutes extra running time would be required after closing time due to the fact that the cooking equipment has a cooling period during which fumes still need to be vented; and
 - b. The noise from additional traffic and opening & slamming of car doors would continue until at least 12:30 am (when the staff finally leave after cleaning), seven days a week. The additional traffic includes customers, delivery vehicles and staff; and
 - c. The noise from cleaning the premises after closing would occur from 12.00 am until at least 12.30 am, seven days a week; and
 - d. The odours from the premises would continue until 12.00 am, seven days a week; and
 - e. The litter generated by customers of the establishment would be increased due to the additional hour of trading – especially post Covid; and
 - f. The likely noise and disturbance from customers arriving after pub closing time until 12.00am, seven days a week; and
 - g. Regarding the selection of "Both" at the top of Section I, indicating the intention to serve food indoors and outdoors, the noise generated by customers being served and/or consuming food outside the premises until 12.00 am seven days a week would be anticipated to be highly detrimental to the local residents. Food is not currently served outside the premises so the noise would be entirely new. Additional litter would also be expected to be generated due to the serving and consumption outside.
5. Unfortunately the disturbance to residents and businesses (in relation to the points above) has not been mitigated:
 - a. Section M point (a), (b) and (d) of the application indicate the intention to deliver more. This would not reduce the noise – it would increase it above current levels due to the increased traffic, opening & closing car doors etc.
 - b. There is no other evidence of either consideration or mitigation of the above disturbances in the application. I am not against takeaways at all (I am a customer of several!) and I am pleased that the business is doing well. However these late hours are not appropriate for this otherwise narrow, terraced residential area.

Nicholas Remington, 20 Brampton Park Road, Hitchin, Hertfordshire, SG5 1XE

My home address is within 300 metres of the premises. I object to the license application for the following reasons:

1. [REDACTED]

2. I have heard that multiple residents have long running (over multiple years) noise complaints especially about the fans with the Environmental Health department.

3. I have also heard that residents have written to the establishment several weeks ago to ask that [REDACTED] the noise from the fans be reduced. [REDACTED]

4. The "Prevention of a public nuisance" objective would be negatively affected if the application was granted because:

a. The noise from the fans, especially the extractor fans, would continue until at least 12:30 am, 7 days a week. It is assumed that at least 30 minutes extra running time would be required after closing time due to the fact that the cooking equipment has a cooling period during which fumes still need to be vented; and

b. The noise from additional traffic and opening & slamming of car doors would continue until at least 12:30 am (when the staff finally leave after cleaning), seven days a week. The additional traffic includes customers, delivery vehicles and staff; and

c. The noise from cleaning the premises after closing would occur from 12.00 am until at least 12.30 am, seven days a week; and

d. The odours from the premises would continue until 12.00 am, seven days a week; and

e. The litter generated by customers of the establishment would be increased due to the additional hour of trading – especially post Covid; and

f. The likely noise and disturbance from customers arriving after pub closing time until 12.00am, seven days a week; and

g. Regarding the selection of "Both" at the top of Section I, indicating the intention to serve food indoors and outdoors, the noise generated by customers being served and/or consuming food outside the premises until 12.00 am seven days a week would be anticipated to be highly detrimental to the local residents. Food is not currently served outside the premises so the noise would be entirely new. Additional litter would also be expected to be generated due to the serving and consumption outside.

5. Unfortunately the disturbance to residents and businesses (in relation to the points above) has not been mitigated:

a. Section M point (a), (b) and (d) of the application indicate the intention to deliver more. This would not reduce the noise – it would increase it above current levels due to the increased traffic, opening & closing car doors etc.

b. There is no other evidence of either consideration or mitigation of the above disturbances in the application. I am not against takeaways at all (I am a customer of several!) and I am pleased that the business is doing well. However these late hours are not appropriate for this otherwise narrow, terraced residential area.

Elizabeth Tye, 19 Brampton Park Road, Hitchin, Hertfordshire, SG5 1XE

My home address is 21 houses along the street from the premises. I object to the license application for the following reasons:

1. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
2. I have heard that multiple residents have long running (over multiple years) noise complaints especially about the fans with the Environmental Health department.
3. I have also heard that residents have written to the establishment several weeks ago to ask that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] the noise from the fans be reduced. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
4. The "Prevention of a public nuisance" objective would be negatively affected if the application was granted because:
 - a. The noise from the fans, especially the extractor fans, would continue until at least 12:30 am, 7 days a week. It is assumed that at least 30 minutes extra running time would be required after closing time due to the fact that the cooking equipment has a cooling period during which fumes still need to be vented; and
 - b. The noise from additional traffic and opening & slamming of car doors would continue until at least 12:30 am (when the staff finally leave after cleaning), seven days a week. The additional traffic includes customers, delivery vehicles and staff; and
 - c. The noise from cleaning the premises after closing would occur from 12.00 am until at least 12.30 am, seven days a week; and
 - d. The odours from the premises would continue until 12.00 am, seven days a week; and
 - e. The litter generated by customers of the establishment would be increased due to the additional hour of trading – especially post Covid; and
 - f. The likely noise and disturbance from customers arriving after pub closing time until 12.00am, seven days a week; and
 - g. Regarding the selection of "Both" at the top of Section I, indicating the intention to serve food indoors and outdoors, the noise generated by customers being served and/or consuming food outside the premises until 12.00 am seven days a week would be anticipated to be highly detrimental to the local residents. Food is not currently served outside the premises so the noise would be entirely new. Additional litter would also be expected to be generated due to the serving and consumption outside.
5. Unfortunately the disturbance to residents and businesses (in relation to the points above) has not been mitigated:
 - a. Section M point (a), (b) and (d) of the application indicate the intention to deliver more. This would not reduce the noise – it would increase it above current levels due to the increased traffic, opening & closing car doors etc.
 - b. There is no other evidence of either consideration or mitigation of the above disturbances in the application. I am not against takeaways at all (I am a customer of several!) and I am pleased that the business is doing well. However these late hours are not appropriate for this otherwise narrow, terraced residential area.

Corinne Remington, 20 Brampton Park Road, Hitchin, Hertfordshire, SG5 1XE

My home address is within 300 metres of the premises. I object to the license application for the following reasons:

1. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
2. I have heard that multiple residents have long running (over multiple years) noise complaints especially about the fans with the Environmental Health department.
3. I have also heard that residents have written to the establishment several weeks ago to ask that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] the noise from the fans be reduced. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
4. The "Prevention of a public nuisance" objective would be negatively affected if the application was granted because:
 - a. The noise from the fans, especially the extractor fans, would continue until at least 12:30 am, 7 days a week. It is assumed that at least 30 minutes extra running time would be required after closing time due to the fact that the cooking equipment has a cooling period during which fumes still need to be vented; and
 - b. The noise from additional traffic and opening & slamming of car doors would continue until at least 12:30 am (when the staff finally leave after cleaning), seven days a week. The additional traffic includes customers, delivery vehicles and staff; and
 - c. The noise from cleaning the premises after closing would occur from 12.00 am until at least 12.30 am, seven days a week; and
 - d. The odours from the premises would continue until 12.00 am, seven days a week; and
 - e. The litter generated by customers of the establishment would be increased due to the additional hour of trading – especially post Covid; and
 - f. The likely noise and disturbance from customers arriving after pub closing time until 12.00am, seven days a week; and
 - g. Regarding the selection of "Both" at the top of Section I, indicating the intention to serve food indoors and outdoors, the noise generated by customers being served and/or consuming food outside the premises until 12.00 am seven days a week would be anticipated to be highly detrimental to the local residents. Food is not currently served outside the premises so the noise would be entirely new. Additional litter would also be expected to be generated due to the serving and consumption outside.
5. Unfortunately the disturbance to residents and businesses (in relation to the points above) has not been mitigated:
 - a. Section M point (a), (b) and (d) of the application indicate the intention to deliver more. This would not reduce the noise – it would increase it above current levels due to the increased traffic, opening & closing car doors etc.
 - b. There is no other evidence of either consideration or mitigation of the above disturbances in the application. I am not against takeaways at all (I am a customer of several!) and I am pleased that the business is doing well. However these late hours are not appropriate for this otherwise narrow, terraced residential area.

Jo Young, 76 Brampton Park Road, Hitchin, Hertfordshire, SG5 1XE

My home address is within 10 metres of the premises I object to the license application for the following reasons:

1. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
2. I have heard that multiple residents have long running (over multiple years) noise complaints especially about the fans with the Environmental Health department.
3. I have also heard that residents have written to the establishment several weeks ago to ask that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] the noise from the fans be reduced. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
4. The "Prevention of a public nuisance" objective would be negatively affected if the application was granted because:
 - a. The noise from the fans, especially the extractor fans, would continue until at least 12:30 am, 7 days a week. It is assumed that at least 30 minutes extra running time would be required after closing time due to the fact that the cooking equipment has a cooling period during which fumes still need to be vented; and
 - b. The noise from additional traffic and opening & slamming of car doors would continue until at least 12:30 am (when the staff finally leave after cleaning), seven days a week. The additional traffic includes customers, delivery vehicles and staff; and
 - c. The noise from cleaning the premises after closing would occur from 12.00 am until at least 12.30 am, seven days a week; and
 - d. The odours from the premises would continue until 12.00 am, seven days a week; and
 - e. The litter generated by customers of the establishment would be increased due to the additional hour of trading – especially post Covid; and
 - f. The likely noise and disturbance from customers arriving after pub closing time until 12.00am, seven days a week; and
 - g. Regarding the selection of "Both" at the top of Section I, indicating the intention to serve food indoors and outdoors, the noise generated by customers being served and/or consuming food outside the premises until 12.00 am seven days a week would be anticipated to be highly detrimental to the local residents. Food is not currently served outside the premises so the noise would be entirely new. Additional litter would also be expected to be generated due to the serving and consumption outside.
5. Unfortunately the disturbance to residents and businesses (in relation to the points above) has not been mitigated:
 - a. Section M point (a), (b) and (d) of the application indicate the intention to deliver more. This would not reduce the noise – it would increase it above current levels due to the increased traffic, opening & closing car doors etc.
 - b. There is no other evidence of either consideration or mitigation of the above disturbances in the application. I am not against takeaways at all (I am a customer of several!) and I am pleased that the business is doing well. However these late hours are not appropriate for this otherwise narrow, terraced residential area.

Anna Hirschfeld, 41 Brampton Park Road, Hitchin, Hertfordshire, SG5 1XF

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] I object to the license application for the following reasons:

1. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
2. I have heard that multiple residents have long running (over multiple years) noise complaints especially about the fans with the Environmental Health department.
3. I have also heard that residents have written to the establishment several weeks ago to ask that [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] the noise from the fans be reduced. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
4. The "Prevention of a public nuisance" objective would be negatively affected if the application was granted because:
 - a. The noise from the fans, especially the extractor fans, would continue until at least 12:30 am, 7 days a week. It is assumed that at least 30 minutes extra running time would be required after closing time due to the fact that the cooking equipment has a cooling period during which fumes still need to be vented; and
 - b. The noise from additional traffic and opening & slamming of car doors would continue until at least 12:30 am (when the staff finally leave after cleaning), seven days a week. The additional traffic includes customers, delivery vehicles and staff; and
 - c. The noise from cleaning the premises after closing would occur from 12.00 am until at least 12.30 am, seven days a week; and
 - d. The odours from the premises would continue until 12.00 am, seven days a week; and
 - e. The litter generated by customers of the establishment would be increased due to the additional hour of trading – especially post Covid; and
 - f. The likely noise and disturbance from customers arriving after pub closing time until 12.00am, seven days a week; and
 - g. Regarding the selection of "Both" at the top of Section I, indicating the intention to serve food indoors and outdoors, the noise generated by customers being served and/or consuming food outside the premises until 12.00 am seven days a week would be anticipated to be highly detrimental to the local residents. Food is not currently served outside the premises so the noise would be entirely new. Additional litter would also be expected to be generated due to the serving and consumption outside.
5. Unfortunately the disturbance to residents and businesses (in relation to the points above) has not been mitigated:
 - a. Section M point (a), (b) and (d) of the application indicate the intention to deliver more. This would not reduce the noise – it would increase it above current levels due to the increased traffic, opening & closing car doors etc.
 - b. There is no other evidence of either consideration or mitigation of the above disturbances in the application. I am not against takeaways at all (I am a customer of several!) and I am pleased that the business is doing well. However these late hours are not appropriate for this otherwise narrow, terraced residential area.

Paul Cooper, 76 Brampton Park Road, Hitchin, Hertfordshire, SG5 1XE

My home address is within 20 metres of the premises and is 3 houses along the street from the premises. I object to the license application for the following reasons:

1 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

2. I have heard that multiple residents have long running (over multiple years) noise complaints especially about the fans with the Environmental Health department.

3. I have also heard that residents have written to the establishment several weeks ago to ask that [REDACTED] the noise from the fans be reduced. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

4. The "Prevention of a public nuisance" objective would be negatively affected if the application was granted because:

a. The noise from the fans, especially the extractor fans, would continue until at least 12:30 am, 7 days a week. It is assumed that at least 30 minutes extra running time would be required after closing time due to the fact that the cooking equipment has a cooling period during which fumes still need to be vented; and

b. The noise from additional traffic and opening & slamming of car doors would continue until at least 12:30 am (when the staff finally leave after cleaning), seven days a week. The additional traffic includes customers, delivery vehicles and staff; and

c. The noise from cleaning the premises after closing would occur from 12.00 am until at least 12.30 am, seven days a week; and

d. The odours from the premises would continue until 12.00 am, seven days a week; and

e. The litter generated by customers of the establishment would be increased due to the additional hour of trading – especially post Covid; and

f. The likely noise and disturbance from customers arriving after pub closing time until 12.00am, seven days a week; and

g. Regarding the selection of "Both" at the top of Section I, indicating the intention to serve food indoors and outdoors, the noise generated by customers being served and/or consuming food outside the premises until 12.00 am seven days a week would be anticipated to be highly detrimental to the local residents. Food is not currently served outside the premises so the noise would be entirely new. Additional litter would also be expected to be generated due to the serving and consumption outside.

5. Unfortunately the disturbance to residents and businesses (in relation to the points above) has not been mitigated:

a. Section M point (a), (b) and (d) of the application indicate the intention to deliver more. This would not reduce the noise – it would increase it above current levels due to the increased traffic, opening & closing car doors etc.

b. There is no other evidence of either consideration or mitigation of the above disturbances in the application. I am not against takeaways at all (I am a customer of several!) and I am pleased that the business is doing well. However these late hours are not appropriate for this otherwise narrow, terraced residential area which had no such problems when I bought my house on the street. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Paul Cooper, 76 Brampton Park Road - ADDENDUM

Further to my earlier objection, I would like to request a Noise Impact Assessment please.